



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,289	08/30/2001	Chien-Chung Huang	TS00-096	6633
28112 7	7590 09/05/2003			
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES 28 DAVIS AVENUE POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			EXAMINER	
			MASINICK, MICHAEL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	6
		•	DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Pre				
	Applicati n No	Applicant(s)				
OFF A - 4' O	09/942,289	HUANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of the	Michael D Masinick	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	<u>lugust 2003</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims 						
4) Claim(s) 21-32 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ved by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, priority under 00 0,0,0, 5, 1 (0)	, (a) a. (.).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But 	ity documents have been receive reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	,	,				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	• •					
Attachment(s)	🗖					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Description Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/942,289

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Examiner has reviewed the response to office action dated 4/18/2003. Previous rejections are most as previous claims have been canceled and new claims are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 27 recites the limitation "processing tool" in reference to a plurality of processing tools as shown previously in the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 21-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,263,25 to Tan et al.
- 2. With reference to claims 21 and 27, Tan shows a computer system for supervision and operation of a semiconductor facility, said system comprising: a plurality of processing tools (Col 3, lines 29-33); a MES system to control said processing tools and to track manufacturing data (Col 2, lines 64-66); a plurality of user set-up functions to selectively transfer user data

Application/Control Number: 09/942,289 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

from a plurality of users to said MES system and to selectively transfer said manufacturing data from said MES system to said users (Figure 6); and a user interface function to translate said manufacturing data prior to said transfer to said users and to translate said user data from said users prior to said transfer to said MES system (CORBA – Col 11, lines 17-37); and monitoring said processing tool through said computer system (Examiner notes that this is the main purpose of a MES).

- 3. Referencing claims 22 and 28, Tan shows wherein the user interface function is further capable of detecting faulty entries in the user data (Col 6, lines 63-end).
- 4. Referring to claims 24 and 30, Tan shows wherein said user set-up function is capable of selecting a specific processing tool. Examiner notes that this is an inherent function of any manufacturing execution system.
- 5. Referring to claims 25 and 31, Tan shows where in the user set-up function is capable of tracking an operational model of said selected processing tool. Examiner notes that this is an inherent function of any manufacturing execution system.
- 6. Referring to claims 26 and 32, Tan shows wherein said manufacturing data further comprises the results of statistical analysis of manufacturing data (Claim 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,289

Art Unit: 2125

- 8. Claims 23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,263,25 to Tan et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,423,716 to Strasbaugh.
- 9. Tan does not specifically show the use of a numerically controlled tool as one of the users.
- 10. Numerically controlled tools are well known in the art of semiconductor manufacturing for polishing and many other finishing techniques.
- 11. Strasbaugh shows a numerically controller apparatus in use in a semiconductor processing facility for providing the wafer polishing step.
- 12. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the numerically controlled tool as a user in the system of Tan because Numerically controlled tools are well known to be used in semiconductor processing plants and commonly interface with other machinery.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D Masinick whose telephone number is (703) 305-7738. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Mdm

ALBERT W. PALADINI
PRIMARY EXAMINER